УДК 515.12

Taras Banakh

THE TOPOLOGY OF SPACES OF PROBABILITY MEASURES, I: FUNCTORS $\mathcal{P}_\tau$ AND $\hat{P}$.

arXiv:1112.6161v2 [math.GN] 11 Jun 2012

T.Banakh. *Topology of probability measure spaces, I: functors $\mathcal{P}_\tau$ and $\hat{P}$*, Mat. Stud. **5**:1-2 (1995) 65–87.

For a Tychonoff space X, the constructions $\hat{P}(X)$ and $P_\tau(X)$ of the spaces of probability Radon measures and probability τ-smooth measures on X are considered. It is proved that the constructions $\hat{P}$ and P_τ determine functors in the category of Tychonoff spaces, which extend the functor P of probability measures in the category of compacta. In this part we investigate general topological properties of the spaces $\hat{P}(X)$ and $P_\tau(X)$, as well as categorial properties of the functors $\hat{P}$ and P_τ.

Т.Банах. *Топология пространств вероятностных мер, I: Функторы $\mathcal{P}_\tau$ и $\hat{P}$.* // Мат. Студії. — 1995. – Т.5, №1-2. — С.65–87.

Introduction

The space of probability measures is a classical object which is studied form different points of view in Measure Theory, Functional Analysis, Probability Theory, Topology and Category Theory. This paper is the first part of a larger project (the results of which were announced in [1]) devoted to the study of spaces of probability measures on topological spaces, in particular, spaces of probability τ-smooth measures and probability Radon measures. Our interests primarily touch on topological and categorial aspects of Measure Theory and are very much in line with the survey [2], where a functor $P : \mathcal{C}omp \to \mathcal{C}omp$ of the space of probability measures in the category of compacts is studied (we are going to use contemporary terminology, understanding a compact Hausdorff space under the term "compact").

The study of spaces of probability measures leads to the problem of extension of the functor P from the category of compacta to wider categories, in particular, the category $\mathcal{T}ych$ of Tychonoff spaces and their continuous maps. One of such extensions P_β was suggested by By A.Ch. Chigogidze [3]: For a Tychonoff space X let us consider the space $P_\beta(X) = \{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mathrm{supp}(\mu) \subset X \subset \beta\, X\}$, where $\beta\, X$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of X, and $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ is the support of the measure μ. The structure $P_\beta(X)$ induces a functor $P_\beta : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$, which extends the functor $P : \mathcal{C}omp \to \mathcal{C}omp$. Another construction was considered in [2] by V.V. Fedorchuk, who noted that the functor $P \circ \beta : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{C}omp$ assigning to each Tychonoff space X the space $P(\beta X)$, also extends the functor $P : \mathcal{C}omp \to \mathcal{C}omp$.

However, the functors P_β and $P \circ \beta$ have a number of drawbacks. In particular, the space $P_\beta(X)$ is very narrow and does not contain many natural countably additive measures on X (i.e. measures non-compact supports), and, on the other hand, the space $P(\beta X)$ is very broad, and contains all finitely additive measures on X, and, as a result, the functor $P \circ \beta$ does not preserve many specific properties of the space X, in particular, it significantly raises the weight (although it does not raise the density).

Thus, it is natural to consider spaces of measures, which lie between the spaces $P_\beta(X)$ and $P(\beta X)$.

For that purpose, let us consider for a Tychonoff space X the following two spaces of probability measures:

$$\hat{P}(X) = \{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mu_*(X) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad P_\tau(X) = \{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mu^*(X) = 1\},$$

where $\mu_*(X) = \sup\{\mu(B) \mid X \supset B$ is a Borel subset of $\beta X\}$ and $\mu^*(X) = \inf\{\mu(B) \mid X \subset B$ is a Borel subset of $\beta X\}$, which are, correspondingly, the upper and the lower μ-measures of the set X in βX (as a tribute to historical tradition, we use the notation $\hat{P}(X)$ and $P_\tau(X)$, not $P_*(X)$ and $P^*(X)$, which seem to be more natural). Evidently,

$$P_\beta(X) \subset \hat{P}(X) \subset P_\tau(X) \subset P(\beta X)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 18B30, 28A33, 28C15, 54B30, 54H05.

© T.Banakh, 1995

for any Tychonoff space X, and $P_\beta(X) = \hat{P}(X) = P_\tau(X) = P(\beta X)$, if the space X is compact.

The measures belonging to the spaces $\hat{P}(X)$ and $P_\tau(X)$ can be equivalently described both in terms of countably additive measures on the space X and in terms of linear functionals on the Banach space $C_b(X)$ of bounded continuous real-valued functions on X. Before providing exact formulations, let us recall some definitions.

A countably additive finite measure μ, defined on the σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of Borel subsets of a topological space X, is called

(i) *a probability measure*, if $\mu(X) = 1$;

(ii) *a regular measure*, if $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(Z) \mid A \supset Z \text{ is a closed subset of } X\}$ for every Borel subset $A \subset X$;

(iii) *Radon*, if $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid A \supset K \text{ is a compact subset of } X\}$ for every Borel subset $A \subset X$ (in [4] Radon measures are called dense measures);

(iv) *τ-smooth*, if for any monotonically decreasing net $\{Z_\alpha\}$ of closed subsets of X with empty intersection $\bigcap_\alpha Z_\alpha$, the net $\{\mu(Z_a)\}$ of real numbers converges to zero (see [4]).

Further in this text, by measure on a topological space we shall understand a finitely additive Borel measure. One easily notices that every Radon measure on a Hausdorff space is regular and τ-smooth. Moreover, a regular measure μ on a Hausdorff space X is a Radon measure if and only if

$$\mu(X) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \text{ is a compact subset of } X\}.$$

Let X be a Tychonoff space. For every measure $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$ we will define a measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on X by the formula $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \mu^*(A) = \inf\{\mu(B) \mid A \subset B \text{ is a Borel subset of } \beta X\}$, where A is a Borel subset of X. It is known [5], or [2, 1.11] (see also Remark 1.2) that the measure $\tilde{\mu}$, defined in this way, is τ-smooth on X. Conversely, every probability τ-smooth measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on X determines a measure $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$ by the formula $\mu(A) = \tilde{\mu}(A \cap X)$, where $A \in \mathcal{B}(\beta X)$. Under this condition Radon measures (and only them) become measures on βX, which belong to the set $\hat{P}(X)$. Therefore, we will call measures from $\hat{P}(X)$ *Radon measures*, and the measures from $P_\tau(X)$ *τ-smooth measures*.

By $C_b(X)$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded continuous real-valued functions on X, endowed with the norm $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$, $f \in C_b(X)$. Not getting into the definition of the integral, let us note that every regular probability measure μ on X uniquely determines the integral $\int_\mu$, a non-negative linear functional on $C_b(X)$ of norm 1 (the value of integral $\int_\mu$ on the function f will be denoted by $\int_\mu f$, or simply $\mu(f)$). Under such identification we get the following equivalences (see [4]),

(i) the measure μ is τ-dense if and only if $\mu(f_\alpha) \to 0$ for any monotonically decreasing net $\{f_\alpha\} \subset C_b(X)$ that pointwise converges to zero;

(ii) the measure μ is Radon if and only if $\mu(f_\alpha) \to 0$ for any net of uniformly bounded sequence of functions $\{f_\alpha\} \subset C_b(X)$ that converges to zero uniformly on compacta.

Similarly, a measure $\mu \in P(\beta X)$ belongs to the set $P_\tau(X)$ if and only if $\mu(f_\alpha) \to 0$ for every monotonically decreasing net $\{f_\alpha\} \subset C(\beta X)$ converging to zero pointwise on the set $X \subset \beta X$.

Let us show that the constructions of the spaces $P_\tau(X)$ and $\hat{P}(X)$ are functorial in the category $\mathcal{T}ych$. Since $\hat{P}(X) \subset P_\tau(X) \subset P(\beta X)$ for any Tychonoff space X and $P \circ \beta : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{C}omp$ is a functor on the category $\mathcal{T}ych$ of Tychonoff spaces [2], in order to see that the constructions $\hat{P}$ and P_τ are functorial, it is sufficient to show that for any continuous map $f : X \to Y$ of Tychonoff spaces $P(\beta f)(P_\tau(X)) \subset P_\tau(Y)$ and $P(\beta f)(\hat{P}(X)) \subset \hat{P}(Y)$, where $\beta f : \beta X \to \beta Y$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of the map f (see [6, 3.66]). If $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$, then $\mu^*(X) = 1$, and, consequently, $\mu(B) = 1$ for any Borel set B, $X \subset B \subset \beta X$. Then for any Borel set B', $Y \subset B' \subset \beta Y$, $P(\beta f)(\mu)(B') = \mu((\beta f)^{-1}(B')) = 1$, since $(\beta f)^{-1}(B')$ is a Borel subset of βX, which contains X. This implies that $P(\beta f)(\mu) \in P_\tau(Y)$, i.e. $P(\beta f)(P_\tau(X)) \subset P_\tau(Y)$.

If $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact $K \subset X$, such that $\mu(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Then $f(K) \subset Y$ is a compact in Y such that $P(\beta f)(\mu)(f(K)) = \mu((\beta f)^{-1}(f(K))) \geq \mu(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Therefore, the measure $P(\beta f)(\mu)$ belongs to the set $\hat{P}(Y)$, i.e. $P(\beta f)(\hat{P}(X)) \subset \hat{P}(Y)$. Let us define $P_\tau(f) = P(\beta f)|P_\tau(X) : P_\tau(X) \to P_\tau(Y)$ and $\hat{P}(f) = P(\beta f)|\hat{P}(X) : \hat{P}(X) \to \hat{P}(Y)$. Thus, we have proved the following

Theorem 0.1. *The constructions P_τ u $\hat{P}$ are covariant functors in the category $\mathcal{T}ych$ of Tychonoff spaces and their continuous maps, which extend the functor $P : \mathcal{C}omp \to \mathcal{C}omp$.*

Let us note that we might as well have defined the functors $P_\tau : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ and $\hat{P} : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ from inside, without using Stone-Čech compactifications. In particular, for the Tychonoff space X the space $P_\tau(X)$ consists of regular probability τ-smooth measures on X, and the topology on $P_\tau(X)$ is induced by a subbase consisting of sets of the form $\{\mu \in P_\tau(X) : |\mu(\varphi) - \mu_0(\varphi)| < 1\}$, where $\mu_0 \in P_\tau(X)$ and $\varphi \in C_b(X)$. If $f : X \to Y$ is a continuous map of Tychonoff spaces, then the map $P_\tau(f) : P_\tau(X) \to P_\tau(Y)$ is defined by the formula $P_\tau(f)(\mu)(A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A))$, where $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$ and A is a Borel subset of Y. Then $\hat{P}(X)$ is a subspace of $P_\tau(X)$, consisting of Radon probability measures, and $\hat{P}(f)$ is the restriction of the map $P_\tau(f)$ to the set $\hat{P}(X)$. Using the roundabout way (using Stone-Čech compactifications) we got rid of the necessity of checking that the constructions P_τ and $\hat{P}$, defined is such way, are functors in the category $\mathcal{T}ych$ indeed.

Before moving on to the presentation of concrete results, let us note that in a number of spaces, for example, spaces X which are Borel sets in their Stone-Čech compactification, every τ-smooth measure is Radon. In this case the spaces $P_\tau(X)$ and $\hat{P}(X)$ coincide. More generally, this holds for the so called universally measurable spaces, that is, spaces X which are measurable in some compactification γX with respect to any measure $\mu \in P(\gamma X)$. Besides absolute Borel spaces, analytic and coanalytic spaces are also absolutely measurable [7, 2.2.12].

1 Categorial properties of the functor P_τ

In this section we shall investigate categorial properties of the functor P_τ, and also some general topological properties of the spaces $P_\tau(X)$.

Let us start with the following simple remark.

Lemma 1.1. *Let X be a Tychonoff space. If $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$, then $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ for any two Borel subsets $A, B \subset \beta X$ such that $A \cap X = B \cap X$.*

Proof. Let $A, B \subset \beta X$ be Borel sets with $A \cap X = B \cap X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\mu(A) - \mu(B)| &= |\mu(A \cap B) + \mu(A \setminus B) - \mu(A \cap B) - \mu(B \setminus A)| = \\ &= |\mu(A \setminus B) - \mu(B \setminus A)| \le \mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(B \setminus A) = \mu((A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)).\end{aligned}$$

Since $A \cap X = B \cap X$, we have that $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \subset \beta X \setminus X$. If $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$, then $\mu_*(\beta X \setminus X) = 0$, which implies that $\mu(A \triangle B) = \mu_*(A \triangle B) \le \mu_*(\beta X \setminus X) = 0$, which means that $|\mu(A) - \mu(B)| \le \mu(A \triangle B) = 0$, i.e. $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$. Thus, the lemma is proved. □

Remark 1.2. Lemma 1.1 implies the following fact, which has already been mentioned in the introduction: every measure $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$ induces a probability measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on X, according to the formula $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \mu(B)$, where B is any Borel subset βX such that $B \cap X = A$, and A is a Borel subset of X. Under these conditions, the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ is τ-smooth. Indeed, for every monotonically decreasing net $\{Z_\alpha\}$ of non-empty closed subsets of X with empty intersection, the net $\{\bar{Z}_\alpha\}$ consisting of their closures in βX also monotonically decreases. Then, as $\{\bar{Z}_\alpha\}$ is a centered family of closed subsets of the compact βX, it has a non-empty intersection $Z = \bigcap_\alpha \bar{Z}_\alpha$ [6, 3.1.1]. Since $Z \cap X = (\cap_\alpha \bar{Z}_\alpha) \cap X = \cap_\alpha (\bar{Z}_\alpha \cap X) = \cap_\alpha Z_\alpha = \varnothing$, we have that $Z \subset \beta X \setminus X$. Keeping in mind that $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$, we get that $\mu(Z) = 0$. The regularity of the measure μ implies that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set U, $Z \subset U \subset \beta X$ such that $\mu(U) < \varepsilon$. [6, 3.1.5] implies that since $\cap_\alpha \bar{Z}_\alpha = Z \subset U$, $Z_{\alpha_0} \subset U$ for some α_0. Consequently, $\mu(\bar{Z}_{\alpha_0}) \le \mu(U) < \varepsilon$, and, therefore, $\tilde{\mu}(Z_{\alpha_0}) = \mu(\bar{Z}_{\alpha_0}) < \varepsilon$. Since the net $\{Z_\alpha\}$ monotonically decreases, $\tilde{\mu}(Z_\beta) \le \tilde{\mu}(Z_{\alpha_0}) < \varepsilon$ for all $\beta \ge \alpha_0$. But this means that the real-valued net $\{\tilde{\mu}(Z_\alpha)\}$ converges to zero, thus, the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on X is τ-smooth.

Let us recall that a map $f : X \to Y$ between topological spaces is called *perfect*, if it is closed and the preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ of every point $y \in Y$ is compact.

Theorem 1.3. *The functor $P_\tau : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ preserves the class of perfect maps.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a perfect map of Tychonoff spaces. Then the extension $\beta f : \beta X \to \beta Y$ of the map f (called the *Stone-Čech compactification* of the map f) has the following property: $\beta f(\beta X \setminus X) \subset \beta Y \setminus Y$ [6, 3.7.15]. Let us consider the map $P(\beta f) : P(\beta X) \to P(\beta Y)$. We are going to prove that $P(\beta f)(P(\beta X) \setminus P_\tau(X)) \subset P(\beta Y) \setminus P_\tau(Y)$. Indeed, let $\mu \in P(\beta X) \setminus P_\tau(X)$, i.e. $\mu^*(X) < 1$. This implies that there exists a compact $K \subset \beta X \setminus X$ such that $\mu(K) > 0$. Then $\beta f(K) \subset \beta Y \setminus Y$ is a compact subset with $P(\beta f)(\mu)(\beta f(K)) = \mu((\beta f)^{-1}(\beta f(K))) \ge \mu(K) > 0$. Consequently, $P(\beta f)(\mu)^*(Y) < 1$, i.e. $P(\beta f)(\mu) \notin P_\tau(Y)$. Thus, $P(\beta f)(P(\beta X) \setminus P_\tau(X)) \subset P(\beta Y) \setminus P_\tau(Y)$. Since $P(\beta f) : P(\beta X) \to P(\beta Y)$ is a map between compacta, the lasts inclusion implies that the map $P_\tau(f) = P(\beta f)|P_\tau(X) : P_\tau(X) \to P_\tau(Y)$ is perfect. The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 1.4. *The functor $P_\tau : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ preserves the class of embeddings.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a topological embedding of Tychonoff spaces and $\beta f : \beta X \to \beta Y$ be its Stone-Čech compactification. One can easily see that $\beta f(\beta X \setminus X) \subset \beta Y \setminus f(X)$. Let $A = \{\mu \in P(\beta Y) \mid \mu^*(f(X)) = 1\}$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.3, it can be shown that $P(\beta f)(P(\beta X) \setminus P_\tau(X)) \subset P(\beta Y) \setminus A$. Obviously, $P(\beta f)(P_\tau(X)) \subset A$. Thus, the map $P_\tau(f) = P(\beta f)|P_\tau(X) : P_\tau(X) \to A$ is proper. Let us show that it is also injective, which will imply that $P_\tau(f) : P_\tau(X) \to P_\tau(Y)$ is an embedding.

Let $\mu, \eta \in P_\tau(X)$ be two distinct measures. The there exists a closed set $Z \subset \beta X$ such that $\mu(Z) \neq \eta(Z)$. We state that $P_\tau(f)(\mu)(\beta f(Z)) \neq P_\tau(f)(\eta)(\beta f(Z))$, which will imply that the measures $P_\tau(f)(\mu), P_\tau(f)(\eta) \in P_\tau(Y)$ are distinct. Indeed, letting $Z' = (\beta f)^{-1}(\beta f(Z))$, let us observe that, by the definition, $P_\tau(f)(\mu)(\beta f(Z)) = \mu(Z')$ and $P_\tau(f)(\eta)(\beta f(Z)) = \eta(Z')$. Since f is an embedding, $Z' \cap X = Z \cap X$. Then by Lemma 1.1

$$P_\tau(f)(\mu)(\beta f(Z)) = \mu(Z') = \mu(Z) \neq \eta(Z) = \eta(Z') = P_\tau(f)(\eta)(\beta f(Z)),$$

i.e. the measures $P_\tau(f)(\mu), P_\tau(f)(\eta) \in P_\tau(Y)$ are distinct. The theorem is proved. □

Theorems 1.3, 1.4 immediately imply

Corollary 1.5. *The functor $P_\tau : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ preserves the class of closed embeddings.*

Since the functor P_τ preserves embeddings, for a pair $X \subset Y$ of Tychonoff spaces we will treat the space $P_\tau(X)$ as a subset $\{\mu \in P_\tau(Y) \mid \mu^*(X) = 1\}$ of $P_\tau(Y)$. Let us note that when we do this, the set $\hat{P}(X) \subset P_\tau(X)$ consisting of Radon probability measures on X becomes the subset $\{\mu \in P_\tau(Y) \mid \mu_*(X) = 1\} \subset P_\tau(Y)$. It is also worthy of note that Theorem 1.4 implies that the construction of the space $P_\tau(X)$ in fact does not depend on the compactification of X, i.e. for any compactification γX of the X the space $\{\mu \in P(\gamma X) \mid \mu^*(X) = 1\}$ is naturally homeomorphic to $P_\tau(X)$. As we will see in §2, the image of the set under this homeomorphism $\{\mu \in P(\gamma X) \mid \mu_*(X) = 1\}$ is the space $\hat{P}(X)$ of Radon probability measures on X.

Let us recall that the support of a measure $\mu \in P(X)$ on a compact space X is the set $\operatorname{supp}(\mu) = \cap\{F \mid F$, a closed subset of X such that $\mu(F) = 1\}$. Under this condition $\mu(\operatorname{supp}(\mu)) = 1$, i.e. the support of the measure μ is the smallest closed set of μ-measure one. If X is a Tychonoff space, then by the support of a τ-smooth probability measure $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$ on X we will sometimes understand the set $\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cap X$.

The functor P_τ preserves neither injective nor surjective maps. To see that P_τ does not preserve injective maps, choose any non-measurable subset $Z \subset Y$ in the closed interval $Y = [0, 1]$ with lower and upper Lebesgue measures $\lambda_*(Z) = 0$ and $\lambda^*(Z) = 1$. Next, consider the subspace $X = Z \times \{0\} \cup ([0, 1] \setminus Z) \times \{1\}$ of the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, and let $f : X \to Y$, $f : (z, t) \mapsto z$, be the projection onto the first coordinate. It is clear that the map f is bijective and continuous. It can be shown (see [20, Example 3]) that the Lebesgue measure $\lambda \in P(Y) = P_\tau(Y)$ has two preimages under the map $P_\tau(f) : P_\tau(X) \to P_\tau(Y)$, which means that the map $P_\tau(f)$ is not injective and hence P_τ does not preserve injective maps[1].

To see that the functor P_τ does not preserve surjective maps, consider the bijective map $f : D \to [0, 1]$ of a discrete space D onto the interval $[0, 1]$. Then the standard Lebesque measure on $[0, 1]$ does not have a preimage under the map $P_\tau(f) : P_\tau(D) \to P[0, 1]$. This is due to the fact that the set D, being open in its Stone-Čech compactification, is measurable with respect to any measure $\mu \in P(\beta D)$. Consequently, any τ-smooth measure on D is Radon and, since the space D is discrete, it is also atomic (on atomic measures see [8, §2]). But the image of an atomic measure under the map $P_\tau(f)$ is also an atomic measure, and, consequently, it is not equal to the Lebesque measure on $[0, 1]$.

Nonetheless, the functor P_τ preserves one of the properties of maps, which in the case of compactness implies its surjectivity.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map with dense image $f(X)$ in Y. Then the image $P_\tau(f)(P_\tau(X))$ is dense in $P_\tau(Y)$.*

Proof. One can easily see that $P_\tau(f)(P_\tau(X))$ contains the set

$$P_\omega(f(X)) = \{\mu \in Y : |\operatorname{supp}(\mu)| < \infty$$

and $\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset f(X)\}$, which is dense in $P(\beta Y) \supset P_\tau(Y)$. □

Theorem 1.7. *The functor P_τ preserves preimages, i.e. for any map $f : X \to Y$ between Tychonoff spaces and any subset $A \subset Y$ we have that $P_\tau(f)^{-1}(P_\tau(A)) = P_\tau(f^{-1}(A))$.*

[1] This example was added at the translation.

Proof. The inclusion $P_\tau(f^{-1}(A)) \subset P_\tau(f)^{-1}(P_\tau(A))$ is trivial. Let us show that $P_\tau(f)^{-1}(P_\tau(A)) \subset P_\tau(f^{-1}(A))$. This can be derived from the inclusion

$$P_\tau(f)(P_\tau(X) \setminus P_\tau(f^{-1}(A))) \subset P_\tau(Y) \setminus P_\tau(A).$$

Let $\mu \in P_\tau(X) \setminus P_\tau(f^{-1}(A))$, i.e. $\mu^*(f^{-1}(A)) < 1$. This means that there exists a compact $K \subset X \setminus f^{-1}(A))$, such that $\mu(K) > 0$. Then $f(K)$ is a compact subset of $Y \setminus A$ such that $P_\tau(f)(\mu)(f(K)) = \mu(f^{-1}(f(K))) \geq \mu(K) > 0$, i.e. $P_\tau(f)(\mu)(A) < 1$, and, as a consequence, $P_\tau(f)(\mu) \notin P_\tau(A)$. The theorem is proved. □

An embedding-preserving functor $F : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ is said to preserve (*closed*) *intersections*, if for any Tychonoff space X and a family $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ of its (closed) subsets we get $F(\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} F\, X_\alpha$.

Remark 1.8. Unlike the functor $\hat{P}$, which preserves countable intersections (see Theorem 2.15), the functor P_τ does not preserve even finite intersections. This can be seen from the following example: let $X \subset [0,1]$ be a subset of the interval such that $\lambda^*(X) = 1$ and $\lambda_*(X) = 0$, where λ is a the standard Lebesque measure on $[0,1]$. Then $\lambda^*([0,1] \setminus X) = 1$. Consequently, $\lambda \in P_\tau(X) \cap P_\tau([0,1] \setminus X)$. However, $P_\tau(X \cap ([0,1] \setminus X)) = P_\tau(\varnothing) = \varnothing$.

Yet, we have the following

Proposition 1.9. *Let X be a Tychonoff space and $A, B \subset X$ – its two subsets, one of which is Borel. Then $P_\tau(A \cap B) = P_\tau(A) \cap P_\tau(B)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $B \subset X$ is Borel. Let $\tilde{B} \subset \beta X$ be a Borel subset of βX such that $\tilde{B} \cap X = B$. Apparently, $P_\tau(A \cap B) \subset P_\tau(A) \cap P_\tau(B) \subset P_\tau(A) \cap P_\tau(\tilde{B})$. We will show that the inverse inclusion also holds. Let us fix a measure $\mu \in P_\tau(A) \cap P_\tau(\tilde{B})$ and note that $A \cap B = A \cap \tilde{B}$. To show that $\mu \in P_\tau(A \cap B)$ it is sufficient to show that $\mu^*(A \cap \tilde{B}) = 1$. Let $K \subset \beta X$ be an arbitrary compact subset such that $K \subset \beta X \setminus (A \cap \tilde{B}) = (\beta X \setminus A) \cup (\beta X \setminus \tilde{B})$. Our aim is to prove that $\mu(K) = 0$. Let us present the compact K as a union $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ of two Borel sets $K_1 = K \setminus \tilde{B}$ and $K_2 = K \cap \tilde{B}$. Since $\mu \in P_\tau(\tilde{B})$, $\mu(K_1) = 0$. Then, let us note that $K_2 \subset \beta X \setminus A$. As $\mu \in P_\tau(A)$ and K_2 is a Borel set such that $K_2 \cap A = \varnothing$, $\mu(K_2) = 0$. Therefore, $\mu(K) = \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2) = 0$. Thus, $\mu \in P_\tau(A \cap B)$, which means that $P_\tau(A \cap B) = P_\tau(A) \cap P_\tau(B)$. The claim is proved. □

Theorem 1.10. *The functor $P_\tau : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ preserves intersections of closed subsets, i.e. for any Tychonoff space X and closed subsets $X_\alpha \subset X$, $\alpha \in A$, we get*

$$P_\tau(\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} P_\tau(X_\alpha).$$

Proof. The inclusion $P_\tau(\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) \subset \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} P_\tau(X_\alpha)$ is obvious. Let $\mu \in \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} P_\tau(X_\alpha)$. In order to prove that $\mu \in P_\tau(\cap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha)$, we need to show that $\mu^*(\cap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) = 1$, or, equivalently, that for every Borel set $B \subset \beta X$, $\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha \subset B$, we have that $\mu(B) = 1$. Let $\bar{X}_\alpha$ be the closure of the set X_α in βX. As the set X_α is closed in X, $\bar{X}_\alpha \cap X = X_\alpha$. Since $\mu \in P_\tau(X_\alpha)$ for every α, $\mu(\bar{X}_\alpha) = \mu^*(\bar{X}_\alpha) = 1$. Therefore, $\mathrm{supp}(\mu) \subset \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} \bar{X}_\alpha$. Since $\mu(\mathrm{supp}(\mu)) = 1$, we have that $\mu(\cap_{\alpha\in A} \bar{X}_\alpha) = 1$. Since the set $\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} \bar{X}_\alpha \subset \beta X$ is closed and $(\cap_{\alpha\in A} \bar{X}_\alpha) \cap X = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} (\bar{X}_\alpha \cap X) = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$, for every Borel set B, $\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha \subset B \subset \beta X$, according to Lemma 1.1, $\mu(B) \geq \mu(\cap_{\alpha\in A} \bar{X}_\alpha) = 1$. Hence $\mu^*(\cap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) = 1$, i.e. $\mu \in P_\tau(\cap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha)$. The theorem is proved. □

Now let us consider the question of continuity of the functor P_τ. Let A be a directed partially ordered set (a partially ordered set is *directed* if for any $\alpha, \beta \in A$ there exists a $\gamma \in A$, such that $\gamma \geq \alpha$ и $\gamma \geq \beta$).

Let $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta\}$ be an inverse system indexed be the set A and consisting of Tychonoff spaces. By $\varprojlim X_\alpha$ we will denote the limit of this system, and by $p_\alpha : \varprojlim X_\alpha \to X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, its bonding mappings (limit projections). The inverse system $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta\}$ induces an inverse limit $\{P_\tau(X_\alpha), P_\tau(p_\alpha^\beta)\}$, whose limit will be denoted by $\varprojlim P_\tau(X_\alpha)$, and limit projections by $\mathrm{pr}_\alpha : \varprojlim P_\tau(X_\alpha) \to P_\tau(X_\alpha)$. The map $P_\tau(p_\alpha) : P_\tau(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to P_\tau(X_\alpha)$ induces a map $R : P_\tau(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim P_\tau(X_\alpha)$.

It is well-known that if all X_α are compact, then the map R is a homeomorphism. This implies from the continuity of the functor P in the category of compacta [9, VII.3.11].

Theorem 1.11. *The map $R : P_\tau(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim P_\tau(X_\alpha)$ is an embedding. If the bonding mappings (limit projections) $p_\alpha : \varprojlim X_\alpha \to X_\alpha$ are dense (i.e. $p_\alpha(\varprojlim X_\alpha)$ is dense in X_α), then the image $R(P_\tau(\varprojlim X_\alpha))$ is dense in $\varprojlim P_\tau(X_\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let us consider the Stone-Čech compactification $\{\beta X_\alpha, \beta(p^\beta_\alpha)\}$ of the system $\{X_\alpha, p^\beta_\alpha\}$ and note that $\varprojlim X_\alpha$ can be embedded in $\varprojlim \beta X_\alpha$. Moreover, if the bonding mappings $p_\alpha : \varprojlim X_\alpha \to X_\alpha$ are dense, then the image of the space $\varprojlim X_\alpha$ is dense in $\varprojlim \beta X_\alpha$. By the continuity of the functor $P : \mathcal{C}omp \to \mathcal{C}omp$, the corresponding map $\bar{R} : P(\varprojlim \beta X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim P(\beta X_\alpha)$ is a homeomorphism. Applying the fact that the functor P_τ preserves embeddings, we get that the map $R : P_\tau(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim P_\tau(X_\alpha)$ can be embedded in the homeomorphism $\bar{R}$ and is, therefore, an embedding. Furthermore, since the functor P_τ preserves maps with dense images, given that the bonding mappings p_α are dense, the image of the space $P_\tau(\varprojlim X_\alpha)$ under the embedding R is dense in $\varprojlim P_\tau(X_\alpha)$. The theorem is proved. □

Now we are going to consider the property of preserving homotopies, which, in the compact case, is closely linked to the continuity of functors [10].

For Tychonoff spaces X and Y let us define a map $j_{XY} : P_\tau(X) \times Y \to P_\tau(X \times Y)$ determined by the formula $j_{XY}(\mu, y) = P_\tau(i_y)(\mu)$, $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$, $y \in Y$, where $i_y : X \to X \times Y$ is an embedding of X in $X \times Y$ as a fiber: $i_y(x) = (x, y)$, $x \in X$.

Proposition 1.12. *The map $j_{XY} : P_\tau(X) \times Y \to P_\tau(X \times Y)$ is a closed embedding.*

Proof. Let X, Y be Tychonoff spaces and βX и βY be their Stone-Čech compactifications. According to [9, VII.5.11 and VII.5.18], the map $j_{\beta X,\beta Y} : P(\beta X) \times \beta Y \to P(\beta \times \beta Y)$ is an embedding of compacta. Now the claim follows from the obvious equality

$$j_{\beta X,\beta Y}(P_\tau(X) \times Y) = j_{\beta X,\beta Y}(P(\beta X) \times \beta Y) \cap P_\tau(X \times Y).$$

□

Corollary 1.13. *The functor P_τ preserves homotopies, i.e. for any homotopy $H_t : X \to Y$ the homotopy $P_\tau(H_t) : P_\tau(X) \to P_\tau(Y)$ is continuous as a map $P_\tau(H_{(\cdot)}) : P_\tau(X) \times [0,1] \to P_\tau(Y)$.*

Proof. Let $H : X \times [0,1] \to Y$ be a homotopy. Then the map $P_\tau(H_{(\cdot)}) : P_\tau(X) \times [0,1] \to P_\tau(Y)$ is continuous as a composition $P_\tau(H_{(\cdot)}) = P_\tau(H) \circ j_{X,[0,1]}$ of continuous maps $j_{X,[0,1]} : P_\tau(X) \times [0,1] \to P_\tau(X \times [0,1])$ and $P_\tau(H) : P_\tau(X \times [0,1]) \to P_\tau(Y)$. □

Let us recall the definition of a natural transformation of functors. Let $F_i : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$, $i = 1, 2$ be two covariant functors from a category $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M})$ to a category $\mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{O}', \mathcal{M}')$. A family $\Phi = \{\varphi_X : F_1(X) \to F_2(X),\ X \in \mathcal{O}\} \subset \mathcal{M}'$ of morphisms is called a natural transformation of the functor F_1 to the functor F_2, if for any morphism $f : X \to Y$ in the category $\mathcal{C}$ the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_X} & F_2(X) \\ {\scriptstyle F_1(f)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_2(f)} \\ F_1(Y) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} & F_2(Y). \end{array}$$

For every Tychonoff space X let us define a map $\delta_X : X \to P_\tau(X)$ which assigns to each point $x \in X$ the Dirac measure $\delta_X(x)$ concentrated at the point x.

Theorem 1.14. *The family $\delta = \{\delta_X\}$ defines a natural transformation of the identity functor $\mathrm{Id} : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ to the functor $P_\tau : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$, and, moreover, every component $\delta_X : X \to P_\tau(X)$ is a closed embedding.*

Proof. One can easily check that $\delta = \{\delta_X\}$ is a natural transformation of the functor Id to the functor P_τ. The fact that every map $\delta_X : X \to P_\tau(X)$ is a closed embedding implies from [4, II, §3]. □

Theorem 1.15. *The functor P_τ preserves the density of Tychonoff spaces, i.e. $d(P_\tau(X)) = d(X)$ for any infinite Tychonoff space X.*

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ be a dense subset of cardinality $d(X)$ of an infinite Tychonoff space X. Then the set $B = \{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \delta(x_i) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $1 \le i \le n$ r_i is rational and $x_i \in A\}$ is dense in $P_\tau(X)$. Moreover, it is clear that the cardinality of the set B is equal to $d(X)$. □

Theorem 1.16. *The functor P_τ preserves the weight of Tychonoff spaces, i.e. $w(P_\tau(X)) = w(X)$ for any infinite Tychonoff space X.*

Proof. Let X be an infinite Tychonoff space. According to [6, 3.5.2], there exists a compactification cX of the space X such that $w(cX) = w(X)$. By Theorem 1.4, the space $P_\tau(X)$ can be embedded in the compact space $P_\tau(cX) = P(cX)$. Since the functor P preserves weight [9, VII.3.9], $w(P(cX)) = w(cX) = w(X)$. Consequently, $w(P_\tau(X)) \le w(X)$, and, since X can be embedded in $P_\tau(X)$, $w(X) \le w(P_\tau(X))$. That is, $w(P_\tau(X)) = w(X)$. The theorem is proved. □

The following theorem follows from [4, II, §4] implies

Theorem 1.17. *The functor P_τ preserve the class of metrizable spaces.*

Let us recall that a *p-paracompact* space is a preimage of a metrizable space under a perfect map [11]. Theorems 1.3 and 1.7 imply

Theorem 1.18. *The functor P_τ preserves the class of p-paracompact space.*

Let X be a topological space. For every countable ordinal number α we will define families $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(X)$ и $\mathcal{G}_\alpha(X)$ of Borel sets in the following way: the family $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$ (family $\mathcal{G}_0(X)$) consists of all closed (open) subsets of the space X, the family $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(X)$ (the family $\mathcal{G}_\alpha(X)$) consists of all countable unions (countable intersections) of sets from $\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha}\mathcal{F}_\xi(X)$ (from $\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha}\mathcal{G}_\xi(X)$) for odd ordinals α and all countable intersections (countable unions) of the sets from $\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha}\mathcal{F}_\xi(X)$ (from $\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha}\mathcal{G}_\xi(X)$) for even ordinals. It is obvious that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\xi(X)$ ($A \in \mathcal{G}_\xi(X)$) we have that $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{G}_\xi(X)$ ($X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}_\xi(X)$).

For a topological space X by $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ we denote the σ-algebra of all Baire subsets of X, i.e. the smallest σ-algebra containing all functionally closed subsets of X. Baire subsets can be classified in the following way: $\mathcal{M}_0(X)$ ($\mathcal{A}_0(X)$) is the class of all functionally closed (functionally open) subsets of the space X. For every countable ordinal α, $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(X)$ ($\mathcal{A}_\alpha(X)$) is the family of subsets of X, which can be presented as countable intersections (unions) of sets from $\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha}\mathcal{A}_\xi(X)$ (from $\bigcup_{\xi<\alpha}\mathcal{M}_\xi(X)$).

For a Borel subset A of a Tychonoff space X let us define a function $\dot\chi_A : P_\tau(X) \to [0,1]$ with the help of the formula $\dot\chi_A(\mu) = \mu^*(A)$.

Lemma 1.19. *Let X be a Tychonoff space, A be a subset of X, α be an even ordinal, ξ be any ordinal, and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

1. *If $A \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(X)$, then $\dot\chi_A^{-1}([a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A) \ge a\} \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(P_\tau(X))$.*
2. *If $A \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(X)$, then $\dot\chi_A^{-1}([a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A) \ge a\} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(P_\tau(X))$.*
3. *If $A \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(X)$, then $\dot\chi_A^{-1}((a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A) > a\} \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(P_\tau(X))$.*
4. *If $A \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha(X)$, then $\dot\chi_A^{-1}((a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A) > a\} \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha(P_\tau(X))$.*

Proof. First, let us prove Statement (3) of this lemma. Let U be a functionally open subset of X and $\tilde U$ be a functionally open subset of βX such that $\tilde U \cap X = U$. It is easy to construct a sequence $\{f_n : \beta X \to [0,1]\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of continuous functions converging to the characteristic function $\chi_{\tilde U} : \beta X \to [0,1]$ pointwise, such that $f_n|\beta X \setminus \tilde U \equiv 0$, $f_n^{-1}(\{1\}) \subset f_{n+1}^{-1}(\{1\})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty f_n^{-1}(\{1\}) = \tilde U$. By 1.1, $\mu^*(U) = \mu(\tilde U)$ for any $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$. Consequently,

$$\dot\chi_U^{-1}((a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(U) > a\} = \\ = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu(\tilde U) > a\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu(f_n) > a\}$$

is a functionally open set in $P_\tau(X)$.

If Z is a functionally closed subset of X, then the set $X \setminus Z$ is functionally open. Choose a functionally open subset $\tilde U$ of βX such that $\tilde U \cap X = X \setminus Z$, and observe that the set $\{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu(\tilde U) > 1 - a\}$ is functionally open in $P_\tau(X)$. Then

$$\dot\chi_Z([a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(Z) \ge a\} = \\ = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu(\beta X \setminus \tilde U) \ge a\} = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu(\tilde U) \le 1 - a\}'$$

being the complement to a functionally open set $\{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu(\tilde U) > 1 - a\}$, is functionally closed in $P_\tau(X)$.

Let us now show that for any closed set $F \subset X$, the set $\dot\chi_F^{-1}([a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(F) \ge a\}$ is closed in $P_\tau(X)$. Let $\bar F$ be the closure of the set F in βX. Then (see [4]) the set $\{\mu \in P_\tau(\beta X) \mid \mu(\bar F) \ge a\}$ is closed in $P(\beta X)$. By Lemma 1.1, $\mu^*(F) = \mu(\bar F)$ for each measure $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$. Therefore, the set

$$P_\tau(X) \cap \{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mu(\bar F) \ge a\} = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(F) \ge a\} = \dot\chi_F^{-1}([a,\infty))$$

is closed in $P_\tau(X)$. Switching to complements, we prove that for any open set G in X the set $\dot\chi_G^{-1}((a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(G) > a\}$ is open in $P_\tau(X)$.

Thus, for $\xi = \alpha = 0$ the lemma is proved.

Now let ξ be an ordinal. Let us assume that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in \mathcal{M}_{\xi'}(X)$, where $\xi' < \xi$, it has been proved that $\dot\chi_A^{-1}([a,\infty)) \in \mathcal{M}_{\xi'}(P_\tau(X))$. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(X)$. Then $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty A_n^m$, where $A_n^m \in \bigcup_{\xi'<\xi} \mathcal{M}_{\xi'}(X)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n^1 \subset A_n^2 \subset \dots$ and $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty A_1^m \supset \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty A_2^m \supset \dots$. One can easily observe that $\dot\chi_A^{-1}([a,\infty)) = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A) \ge a\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A_n^m) \ge a - \frac{1}{n}\}$. By the induction hypothesis for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ $\{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A_n^m) \ge a - \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{M}_{\xi'}(P_\tau(X))$, where $\xi' < \xi$. Therefore, $\dot\chi_A^{-1}([a,\infty)) \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(P_\tau(X))$.

In a similar fashion for any even ordinal α we can prove that $A \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(X)$ implies $\dot\chi_A^{-1}([a,\infty)) \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(P_\tau(X))$.

If $A \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_\tau(X) \setminus \dot\chi_A^{-1}((a,\infty)) &= \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A) \notin (a,\infty)\} = \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(A) \le a\} = \\ &= \{\mu \in P_\tau(X) \mid \mu^*(X \setminus A) \ge 1 - a\} = \dot\chi_{X\setminus A}^{-1}([1-a,\infty)). \end{aligned}.$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(X)$, $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(X)$, and thus $\dot\chi_{X\setminus A}^{-1}([1-a,\infty)) \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(P_\tau(X))$ and $\dot\chi_A^{-1}((a,\infty)) = P_\tau(X) \setminus \dot\chi_{X\setminus A}^{-1}([1-a,\infty)) \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(X)$.

In a similar fashion we show that for any even ordinal α and $A \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha(X)$ we get $\dot\chi_A^{-1}((a,\infty)) \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha(P_\tau(X))$. The lemma is proved. □

Corollary 1.20. *The functor P_τ preserves Čech-complete spaces.*

Proof. Let X be a Čech-complete Tychonoff space. Then $X = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$ is a G_δ-set in βX (here $U_n \subset \beta X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are open sets in βX). Then

$$P_\tau(X) = \{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mu(X) = 1\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mu(U_n) > 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

By Lemma 1.18 the sets $\{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mu(U_n) > 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}$ are open in $P(\beta X)$, which means that $P_\tau(X)$ is a G_δ-set in $P(\beta X)$. By [6, 3.9.1], the space $P_\tau(X)$ is Čech-complete. □

Corollary 1.21. *If A is a Baire subset of a Tychonoff space X, then the function $\dot\chi_A : P_\tau(X) \to [0,1]$ is measurable with respect to the σ-algebra of Baire subsets of $P_\tau(X)$.*

Theorem 1.22. *The functor P_τ preserves Baire subsets. Moreover, for any ordinal number ξ, if $A \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(X)$, then $P_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(P_\tau(X))$; for any even ordinal α, if $A \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(X)$, then $P_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(P_\tau(X))$.*

Let X be a metrizable compact space. By $\mathcal{P}(X)$ we denote the family of projective subsets of X, i.e. the smallest family containing the class $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of all Borel subsets of X and satisfying the following conditions:

(1) For any continuous map $f : A \to X$ of the set $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ the image $f(A)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}(X)$;

(2) for any set $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ its complement $X \setminus A$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

The family $\mathcal{P}(X)$ can be presented as $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{P}_n(X)$, where $\mathcal{P}_0(X) = \mathcal{B}(X)$ is the family of Borel sets of X; projective sets of the class $\mathcal{P}_{2n+1}(X)$ are continuous images of sets from the class $\mathcal{P}_{2n}(X)$; projective sets of the class $\mathcal{P}_{2n}(X)$ are complements to projective sets of the class $\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(X)$. Given this, for any $n \ge 0$ $\mathcal{P}_{2n+1}(X) \subset \mathcal{P}_{2n+3}(X) \cap \mathcal{P}_{2n+4}(X)$ [12, §38]. Projective sets from classes $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ и $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ have specific names: they are called, respectively, analytic and coanalytic.

Theorem 1.23. *The functor P_τ preserves projective subsets of metrizable compacta. Moreover, for any metrizable compact space X and any $n \ge 1$, if $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(X)$, then $P_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n+2}(P(X))$.*

Proof. Let X be a metrizable compact space. By $\exp(X)$ we denote the hyperspace of non-empty closed subsets of X endowed with the Vietoris topology [9]. Let us note that for any $0 \le a \le 1$ the set $R(a) = \{(\mu, K) \in P(X) \times \exp(X) \mid \mu(K) \ge a\}$ is closed in $P(X) \times \exp(X)$. Indeed, let $(\mu, K) \in P(X) \times \exp(X)$ be a limit point of the set $R(a)$. By the definition, $\mu(K) = \inf\{\mu(f) \mid f \in C(X),\ f \ge 0,\ f|K \equiv 1\}$. Let $f : X \to [0,1]$ be any function with $f|K \equiv 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the set

$$\langle f^{-1}(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1]\rangle = \{B \in \exp(X) \mid B \subset f^{-1}(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1]\}$$

is open in $\exp(X)$. Since (μ, K) is the limit point of the set $R(a)$, there exists a pair $(\eta, C) \in R(a)$ such that $|\eta(f) - \mu(f)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $C \subset f^{-1}(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1]$. Then $\mu(f) > \eta(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \int_X f\, d\eta - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \ge \int_C f\, d\eta - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\eta(C) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \ge (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \ge a - \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\mu(f) \ge a$, which implies that $\mu(K) \ge a$ and $(\mu, K) \in R(a)$.

Now let $n \ge 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(X)$. Then

$$P(X) \setminus P_\tau(A) = \{\mu \in P(X) \mid \mu^*(A) < 1\} = \{\mu \in P(X) \mid \mu_*(X \setminus A) > 0\} =$$
$$= \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{\mu \in P(X) \mid \mu(K) \ge \tfrac{1}{m} \text{ for some compact } K \subset X \setminus A\} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{pr}_1(G_m),$$

where

$$G_m = \{(\mu, K) \in P(X) \times \exp(X) \mid K \subset X \setminus A,\ \mu(K) \ge \tfrac{1}{m}\} = R(\tfrac{1}{m}) \cap (P(X) \times \exp(X \setminus A)),$$

and $\mathrm{pr}_1 : P(X) \times \exp(X) \to P(X)$ is the projection onto the first factor. Since $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(X)$, then $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(X)$, and, according to [13], $\exp(X \setminus A) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(\exp(X))$. Consequently, $P(X) \setminus P_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n+1}(P(X))$ and $P_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n+2}(P(X))$. The theorem is proved. □

Remark 1.24. Theorem 1.22 implies that $P_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{P}_0(P(X))$ for any $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$. Moreover, Theorem 2.32 implies that for any coanalytic subset $A \subset X$ $P_\tau(A) \in \mathcal{P}_3(P(X))$. This follows from the fact that coanalytic subsets of metric compacta are measurable with respect to any measure and, therefore, for a coanalytic set $A \subset X$ the equality $P_\tau(A) = \hat{P}(A)$ holds.

Let us now recall the notion of monad, introduced by S. Eilenberg and T. Moore [14].

Definition 1.25. A *monad* on a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a triple $\mathbb{T} = (T, \delta, \psi)$ consisting of a covariant functor $T : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and natural transformations $\delta : Id \to T$ (identity) and $\psi : T^2 \to T$ (multiplication) which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\psi \circ T\delta = \psi \circ \delta T = \mathrm{id}_T \quad \text{и} \quad \psi \circ \psi T = \psi \circ T\psi.$$

A functor T that can be included in a triple $\mathbb{T}$ is called *monadic* in the category $\mathcal{C}$.

It is well-known [2] that the functor P is monadic in the category $\mathcal{C}omp$ of compacta. In fact, it can be included in the monad $\mathbb{P} = (P, \delta, \psi)$, where δ is the Dirac transformation, and the component $\psi_X : P^2(X) \to P(X)$ of multiplication ψ is determined by the formula $\psi_X(M)(f) = M(F_f)$ for $f \in C(X)$, $M \in P^2(X)$, where $F_f : P(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $F_f(\mu) = \mu(f)$, $\mu \in P(X)$.

Our aim is to show that the functor $P_\tau : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ can also be included in a monad. For this purpose, apparently, it is sufficient to show that for any Tychonoff space X $\delta_{\beta X}(X) \subset P_\tau(X)$ and $\psi_{\beta X}(P_\tau^2(X)) \subset P_\tau(X)$, where $\delta_{\beta X}$ and $\psi_{\beta X}$ are components of natural transformations included in the triple $\mathbb{P} = (P, \delta, \psi)$ (here and further in the text the symbol P_τ^2 denotes the composition of functors $P_\tau \circ P_\tau$). The first inclusion $\delta_{\beta X}(X) \subset P_\tau(X)$ follows from Theorem 1.14. In order to prove the second inclusion, let us assume that $M \in P_\tau^2(X) \subset P^2(\beta X)$, i.e. $M^*(P_\tau(X)) = 1$. Let $\{\varphi_\alpha\} \subset C(\beta X)$ be a monotonically decreasing net of continuous functions on βX converging to zero on the set $X \subset \beta X$ pointwise. According to [4], $\psi_{\beta X}(M) \in P_\tau(X)$ provided we show that the real-valued net $\{\psi_{\beta X}(M)(\varphi_\alpha)\}$ converges to zero. Observe that $\{F_{\varphi_\alpha} : P(\beta X) \to \mathbb{R}\}$ is a monotonically decreasing net of continuous functions on $P(\beta X)$, converging to zero on the set $P_\tau(X) \subset P(\beta X)$ pointwise. Since M is a τ-smooth measure on $P_\tau(X)$, by [4] we have that, $\{M(F_{\varphi_\alpha})\} \to 0$. But $\psi_{\beta X}(M)(\varphi_\alpha) = M(F_{\varphi_\alpha})$ for every α. Therefore, the net $\{\psi_{\beta X}(M)(\varphi_\alpha)\}$ converges to zero, i.e. $\psi_{\beta X}(P_\tau^2(X)) \subset P_\tau(X)$. Let us choose $\delta_X = \delta_{\beta X}|X : X \to P_\tau(X)$ and $\psi_X = \psi_{\beta X}|P_\tau^2(X) : P_\tau^2(X) \to P_\tau(X)$. One can easily see that $\mathbb{P}_\tau = (P_\tau, \delta, \psi)$ is a monad on the category $\mathcal{T}ych$. Thus, we have proved

Theorem 1.26. *The functor $P_\tau : Tych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ is a monad on the category $\mathcal{T}ych$ extending the monad $P : \mathcal{C}omp \to \mathcal{C}omp$.*

Lemma 1.27. *For any Tychonoff space X and its subset Y the equality $\psi_X^{-1}(P_\tau(Y)) = P_\tau^2(Y)$ holds.*

Proof. The inclusion $\psi_X(P_\tau^2(Y)) \subset P_\tau(Y)$ follows from the fact that the functor P_τ is a monad. Let us now prove the inverse inclusion. Let $M \in P^2(\beta X)$ be a measure such that $\psi_{\beta X}(M) \notin P_\tau(Y)$. Then there exists a compact $K \subset \beta Y \setminus Y$ such that $\psi_{\beta X}(M)(K) > 0$. Consider a family of functions $\Phi = \{f \in C(\beta X) \mid 0 \le f \le 1,\ f|K \equiv 1\}$, equipped with a natural partial order $\le$. The family Φ is downward-directed, i.e. for any functions $f, g \in \Phi$ $\min(f, g) \in \Phi$, and, if treated as a net, it converges to zero on the set Y pointwise. Then the net $\{F_f : P(\beta X) \to \mathbb{R}\}_{f\in\Phi}$ monotonically decreases and converges to zero on the set $P_\tau(Y)$. If the measure M would belong to the set $P_\tau^2(Y)$, the net $\{M(F_f)\}_{f\in\Phi}$ would converge to zero. But $M(F_f) = \psi_{\beta X}(M)(f) \ge \psi_{\beta X}(M)(K) > 0$. This contradiction shows that $M \notin P_\tau^2(Y)$. Thus $\psi_X^{-1}(P_\tau(Y)) = P_\tau^2(Y)$. □

Corollary 1.28. *For every Tychonoff space X the component $\psi_X : P_\tau^2(X) \to P_\tau(X)$ of multiplication is an open and perfect map.*

The proof follows from Lemma 1.27 and from the fact that $\psi_{\beta X} : P^2(\beta X) \to P(\beta X)$ is an open mapping of compacta [2, 7.8], or [15].

Let us recall that a map $p : X \to Y$ between topological spaces is called *soft* for the class of metric spaces if for any metric space A, its closed subset $B \subset A$ and maps $g : A \to Y$ and $f : B \to X$ such that $p \circ g = g|B$ there exists a map $F : A \to X$ such that $F|B = f$ and $p \circ F = g$.

Theorem 1.29. *For every metric space X the map $\psi_X : P_\tau^2(X) \to P_\tau(X)$ is soft for the class of metric spaces.*

The proof follows from Corollary 1.28, metrizability of the space $P_\tau^2(X)$ (see Theorem 1.17), Michael's selection theorems [16, §1.4 and Ex. 1.4.2] and the fact that the preimage $\psi_X^{-1}(\mu) \subset P_\tau^2(X)$ of any measure $\mu \in P_\tau(X)$ is a convex compact in $P_\tau^2(X)$.

We will say that the map $p : E \to B$ is homeomorphic to a trivial Q-fibration if there exists a homeomorphism $f : E \to B \times Q$ such that $\mathrm{pr}_B \circ f = p$, where $\mathrm{pr}_B : B \times Q \to B$ is a natural projection. Here $Q = [-1, 1]^\omega$ stands for the Hilbert cube.

Theorem 1.30. *For a metrizable separable space X that contains more than one point, the map $\psi_X|P_\tau^2(X) \setminus \delta^2(X) : P_\tau^2(X) \setminus \delta^2(X) \to P_\tau(X) \setminus \delta(X)$ is homeomorphic to a trivial Q-fibration.*

Proof. Let cX be a metric compactification of the space $X \ne \{*\}$. In [15] it is proved that the map $\psi_X|P^2(cX) \setminus \delta^2(cX) : P^2(cX) \setminus \delta^2(cX) \to P(cX) \setminus \delta(cX)$ is a trivial Q-fibration. Now the theorem follows from Lemma 1.27. □

2 Categorial properties of the functor $\hat{P}$

In this section we shall investigate categorial properties of the functor $\hat{P} : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ of Radon probability measures.

As it was mentioned in the introduction, there exist two equivalent approaches to defining the space $\hat{P}(X)$, where X is a Tychonoff space. The first is via embedding in compact spaces: $\hat{P}(X) = \{\mu \in P(\beta X) \mid \mu_*(X) = 1\} \subset P(\beta X)$. In the second approach, $\hat{P}(X)$ is defined as the space of all Radon probability measures on X. Further in the text, depending on the situation, without any specific caveats we will use either the first or the second approach to the description of the space $\hat{P}(X)$.

Theorem 2.1. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the class of injective maps.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an injective map and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \hat{P}(X)$, $\mu_1 \ne \mu_2$. Then $\mu_1(A) \ne \mu_2(A)$ for some Borel set $A \subset X$. Since the measures μ_1, μ_2 are Radon, there exists a compact $K \subset A$ such that $\mu_1(K) \ne \mu_2(K)$. Then $f(K)$ is a compact in Y and

$$\hat{P}(f)(\mu_1)(f(K)) = \mu_1(f^{-1}(f(K))) = \mu_1(K) \ne \mu_2(K) = \hat{P}(f)(\mu_2)(f(K)),$$

i.e. $\hat{P}(f)(\mu_1) \ne \hat{P}(f)(\mu_2)$. The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 2.2. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the class of perfect maps.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a perfect map of Tychonoff spaces.Then the extension $\beta f : \beta X \to \beta Y$ of the map f has the following property: $\beta f(\beta X \setminus X) \subset \beta Y \setminus Y$ [6, 3.7.15]. We will show that $P(\beta f)(P(\beta X) \setminus \hat{P}(X)) \subset P(\beta Y) \setminus \hat{P}(Y)$. Let $\mu \in P(\beta X)$ and $P(\beta f)(\mu) \in \hat{P}(Y)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact $K \subset Y \subset \beta Y$ such that $P(\beta f)(\mu)(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Since f is a proper map, $(\beta f)^{-1}(K) = f^{-1}(K)$ is a compact in X (see [6, 3.7.2]). Then $P(\beta f)(\mu)(K) = \mu((\beta f)^{-1}(K)) = \mu(f^{-1}(K)) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Therefore, $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$ and $P(\beta f)(P(\beta X) \setminus \hat{P}(X)) \subset P(\beta Y) \setminus \hat{P}(Y)$. Since $P(\beta f) : P(\beta X) \to P(\beta Y)$ is a map between compacta, the last inclusion implies that the map $\hat{P}(f) = P(\beta f)|\hat{P}_\beta(X) : \hat{P}(X) \to \hat{P}(Y)$ is perfect. The theorem is proved. □

Corollary 2.3. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the class of closed embeddings.*

Since $\hat{P}$ is a subfunctor of the functor P_τ, Theorem 1.4 implies

Theorem 2.4. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the class of topological embeddings.*

Thus, the functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the class of injective maps and the class of (closed) embeddings. But in the case of surjective maps it is not so straightforward.

We say that a map $f : X \to Y$ *has the property of Borel selection* if there exists a map $s : Y \to X$ (not necessarily continuous) such that $f \circ s = \mathrm{id}_Y$, and for any open set $U \subset X$ $s^{-1}(U)$ is a Borel subset of the space Y.

The map $f : X \to Y$ *has local Borel selections*, if for every open set $U \subset X$ there exists a Borel selection $s : Y \to X$ of the map f such that $s(f(U)) \subset U$.

Example 2.5. Let $p : \mathfrak{c} \to [0,1]$ be a bijective map of a discrete space $\mathfrak{c}$ onto an interval. Then the map $\hat{P}(p) : \hat{P}(\mathfrak{c}) \to \hat{P}([0,1])$ is not surjective (the Lebesque measure on [0,1] does not have a preimage).

At the same time, the following is also true:

Proposition 2.6. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map between separable metric spaces that has a Borel selection. Then the map $\hat{P}(f) : \hat{P}(X) \to \hat{P}(Y)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $s : Y \to X$ be a Borel selection of the map f. For every measure $\mu \in \hat{P}(Y)$ let us choose η, a countably additive probability measure on X defined by the condition $\eta(A) = \mu(f(A \cap s(Y)))$ for every Borel set $A \subset X$.

Let us show that η is a Radon measure. It is sufficient to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact $K \subset X$ such that $\eta(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the map $s : Y \to X$ is Borel measurable, the Lusin theorem [7, 2.3.5] implies that there exists a closed subset $C \subset Y$ such that $\mu(C) > 1 - \varepsilon/2$ and the map $s|C : C \to X$ is continuous. Since the measure μ on Y is Radon, there exists such a compact $K \subset C$ that $\mu(C \setminus K) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then $s(K) \subset X$ is compact. Furthermore, $\eta(s(K)) = \mu(f(s(K) \cap s(Y)) = \mu(f(s(K))) = \mu(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Thus the measure η on X is Radon and $\hat{P}(f)(\eta) = \mu$. The theorem is proved. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a bijective continuous mapping of a separable Borel space X onto a metric space Y. Then the map $\hat{P}(f) : \hat{P}(X) \to \hat{P}(Y)$ is bijective.*

Proof. The injectivity of the map $\hat{P}(f)$ follows from Theorem 2.1. The surjectivity of $\hat{P}(f)$ follows from Proposition 2.6, since the map $f^{-1} : Y \to X$ is Borel measurable [12, §39, IV]. □

The condition that a Borel selection should exist is crucial here. Indeed, let us consider

Example 2.8. Let $Z \subset [0,1]$ be a subset of the interval with inner Lebesque measure $\lambda_*(Z) = 0$ and outer measure $\lambda^*(Z) = 1$. Let $X = Z \times \{0\} \cup ([0,1] \setminus Z) \times \{1\}$ и $f : X \to [0,1]$ be a projection onto the first factor. Then the Lebesque measure λ on $[0,1]$ does not have a preimage under the map $\hat{P}(f) : \hat{P}(X) \to P([0,1])$.

At the same time, the functor $\hat{P}$ preserves a feature of maps which implies surjectivity in the compact case.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map such that the image $f(X)$ is dense in Y. Then the image $\hat{P}(f)(\hat{P}(X))$ is dense in $\hat{P}(Y)$.*

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.6.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be an open map between separable metric spaces that has local Borel selections. Then the map $\hat{P}(f) : \hat{P}(X) \to \hat{P}(Y)$ is surjective and open.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, the map $\hat{P}(f)$ is surjective. Let us now show that it is open. According to [4, II, §1], the system of sets $\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_n, \varepsilon) = \{\mu \in \hat{P}(X) \mid \mu(U_i) - \mu_0(U_i) > -\varepsilon,\ 1 \le i \le n\}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mu_0 \in \hat{P}(X)$ and $U_1, \dots, U_n$ are open sets in X, forms a base for the topology on $\hat{P}(X)$. Let us fix a base set $\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_n, \varepsilon)$ and show that its image $\hat{P}(f)(\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_n, \varepsilon))$ is a neighborhood of the measure $\eta_0 = \hat{P}(f)(\mu_0) \in \hat{P}(Y)$. To achieve this, we will first find a base neighborhood $\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, V_1, \dots, V_m, \varepsilon') \subset \mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_n, \varepsilon)$ such that V_i, $1 \le i \le m$, are pairwise disjoint open subsets of X.

By $\mathbf{n}$ we will denote the n-element set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We will equip the set $\exp(\mathbf{n})$ of all non-empty subsets of $\mathbf{n}$ with a linear order such that for any $A, B \subset \mathbf{n}$, if $A \supset B$, then $A \le B$ (see [17, §2.4, Theorem 4]). Let us note that $|\exp(\mathbf{n})| < 2^n$. Fix $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/2^{n+1}$. For every $A \subset \mathbf{n}$ we choose $U_A = \bigcap_{i \in A} U_i$. By induction, for every $A \subset \mathbf{n}$ find an open set $V_A \subset X$ such that $\bar{V}_A \subset U_A \setminus \bigcup_{B<A} \bar{V}_B$ and $\mu_0(V_A) > \mu_0(U_A \setminus \bigcup_{B<A} \bar{V}_B) - \varepsilon'$. One can easily see that for any $A \subset \mathbf{n}$ $\mu_0(\bar{V}_A \setminus V_A) < \varepsilon'$ and, therefore, $\mu_0(U_A) < \mu_0(V_A) + \sum_{B<A} \mu(\bar{V}_B) + \varepsilon' < \sum_{B<A} \mu_0(V_B) + 2^n\varepsilon'$. Also, it is obvious that $\bar{V}_A \cap \bar{V}_B = \varnothing$ for any $A \ne B$. We claim that $\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, \{V_A : A \subset \mathbf{n}\}, \varepsilon') \subset \mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_n, \varepsilon)$. Indeed, if $\mu \in \mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, \{V_A : A \subset \mathbf{n}\}, \varepsilon')$, then $\mu(U_i) = \sum_{A \ni i} \mu(\bar{V}_A) + \mu(U_i \setminus \bigcup_{A \ni i} \bar{V}_A) \ge \sum_{A \ni i} \mu(\bar{V}_A) > \sum_{A \ni i} (\mu_0(V_A) - \varepsilon') > \sum_{A \ni i} \mu_0(V_A) - 2^n\varepsilon' > \mu_0(U_i) - 2^{n+1}\varepsilon' = \mu_0(U_i) - \varepsilon$, $1 \le i \le n$. That is, $\mu \in \mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_n, \varepsilon)$.

Let us present the set $\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, \{V_A : A \subset \mathbf{n}\}, \varepsilon')$ as $\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, V_1, \dots, V_m, \varepsilon')$, where $m = |\exp(\mathbf{n})|$. By $\mathbf{m}$ we will denote the m-element set $\{1, \dots, m\}$. Let us equip the set $\exp(\mathbf{m})$ with a linear order such that for any $A, B \subset \mathbf{m}$, if $A \supset B$, then $A \le B$. For every $A \subset \mathbf{m}$ fix $W'_A = \bigcap_{i \in A} f(V_i)$. Since f is an open map, the sets $W'_A \subset Y$ are open. Fix $\delta = \varepsilon'/2^{m+1}$. By induction, for every $A \subset \mathbf{m}$ find an open set $W_A \subset Y$ such that $\bar{W}_A \subset W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{B<A} \bar{W}_B$ and $\eta_0(W_A) > \eta_0(W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{B<A} \bar{W}_B) - \delta$. One can easily observe that for any distinct $A, B \subset \mathbf{m}$ the sets $\bar{W}_A$ и $\bar{W}_B$ are disjoint. Furthermore, for any $A \subset \mathbf{m}$, $\eta_0((W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{B<A} W'_B) \setminus W_A) < \delta$. We claim that $\mathcal{N}^*(\eta_0, \{W_A : A \subset \mathbf{m}\}, \delta) \subset \hat{P}(f)\mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, V_1, \dots, V_m, \varepsilon'))$. Indeed, let $\eta \in \mathcal{N}^*(\eta_0, \{W_A : A \subset \mathbf{m}\}, \delta)$. For every $A \subset \mathbf{m}$ and every $i \in A$, fix a Borel selection $s_{A,i} : Y \to X$ of the map f such that $s_{A,i}(W_A) \subset V_i$. Let α_i^A, $i \in A$ be non-negative numbers such that for any $A \subset \mathbf{m}$ we get $\sum_{i \in A} \alpha_i^A = 1$ and $\alpha_i^A \eta_0(W_A) \ge \mu_0(f^{-1}(W_A) \cap V_i))$. Fix an arbitrary Borel selection $s_0 : Y \to X$ of the map f. Let μ be a measure on X such that for any Borel set $C \subset X$

$$\mu(C) = \eta(f(s_0(Y \setminus \bigcup_{A \subset \mathbf{m}} W_A)) \cap C) + \sum_{A \subset \mathbf{m}} \sum_{i \in A} \alpha_i^A \eta(f(s_{A,i}(W_A) \cap C)).$$

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.6, it can be shown that μ is a Radon probability on X, i.e. $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$, and $\hat{P}(f)(\mu) = \eta$. We will show that $\mu \in \mathcal{N}^*(\mu_0, V_1, \dots, V_m, \varepsilon')$. Indeed, $\mu(V_i) \ge \sum_{A \ni i} \alpha_i^A \eta(f(s_{A,i}(W_A) \cap V_i)) = \sum_{A \ni i} \alpha_i^A \eta(W_A) > \sum_{A \ni i} \alpha_i^A (\eta_0(W_A) - \delta) > \sum_{A \ni i} \alpha_i^A \eta_0(W_A) - 2^m\delta \ge \sum_{A \ni i} \mu_0(f^{-1}(W_A) \cap V_i) - 2^m\delta = \mu_0(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{A \ni i} W_A) \cap V_i) - 2^m\delta = \mu_0(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{A \ni i} W'_A) \cap V_i) - \mu_0(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{A \ni i} W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{A \ni i} W_A)) - 2^m\delta = \mu_0(V_i) - 2^m\delta - \eta_0(\bigcup_{A \ni i} W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{A \ni i} W_A)$. What remains to be done is to assess the value $\eta_0(\bigcup_{A \ni i} W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{A \ni i} W_A)$. By the definition of sets W'_A, $\bigcup_{A \ni i} W'_A = W'_i$. Then $\eta_0(\bigcup_{A \ni i} W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{A \ni i} W_A) = \eta_0(W'_i \setminus \bigcup_{A \ni i} W_A) = \eta_0(\bigcup_{A \ni i} (W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{B<A} W'_B) \setminus \bigcup_{A \ni i} W_A) \le \sum_{A \ni i} \eta_0((W'_A \setminus \bigcup_{B<A} W'_B) \setminus W_A) < 2^m\delta$. Finally, we get that $\mu(V_i) > \mu_0(V_i) - 2^{m+1}\delta = \mu_0(V_i) - \varepsilon'$. □

Proposition 2.11. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map between Tychonoff spaces, If $\hat{P}(f) : \hat{P}(X) \to \hat{P}(Y)$ is an open map, then the map f is also open.*

The proof literally repeats the proof of Proposition 4.1 [18].

Remark 2.12. Under the assumption of the continuum hypothesis ($\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{c}$), the condition of separability in Propositions 2.6, 2.10 can be omitted. This follows from [12, §31, X,8] and the fact that the support of any Radon measure is separable.

Question 2.13. [2] Let $f : X \to Y$ be an open surjective map between separable Borel spaces. Will the map $\hat{P}(f)$ be open?

In [8, §3] this question will be answered in the affirmative in the case when X is metrizable by a complete metric.

Let A be a subset of a Tychonoff space X. Since the functor $\hat{P}$ preserves embeddings, we will threat the space $\hat{P}(A)$ as a subset of the space $\hat{P}(X)$.

Theorem 2.14. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves preimages, i.e. for any map $f : X \to Y$ between Tychonoff spaces and any subset $A \subset Y$ we get $\hat{P}(f)^{-1}(\hat{P}(A)) = \hat{P}(f^{-1}(A))$.*

[2] This question was answered affirmatively in [19].

Proof. The inclusion $\hat{P}(f^{-1}(A)) \subset \hat{P}(f)^{-1}(\hat{P}(A))$ is simple. We will show that $\hat{P}(f)^{-1}(\hat{P}(A)) \subset \hat{P}(f^{-1}(A))$. Let $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$ be a measure satisfying the condition $\hat{P}(f)(\mu) \in \hat{P}(A)$. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\hat{P}(f)(\mu) \in \hat{P}(A)$, there exists a compact $K \subset A$ such that $\hat{P}(f)(\mu)(K) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. The set $f^{-1}(K) \subset X$ is closed, with $\mu(f^{-1}(K)) = \hat{P}(f)(\mu)(K) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Since the measure μ is Radon, there exists a compact $C \subset f^{-1}(K)$ such that $\mu(f^{-1}(K) \setminus C) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Therefore, $C \subset f^{-1}(A)$ and $\mu(C) > 1 - \varepsilon$, т.е. $\mu \in \hat{P}(f^{-1}(A))$. The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 2.15. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves countable intersections, i.e. for any Tychonoff space X and its subsets $X_n \subset X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{P}(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n) = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \hat{P}(X_n)$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\hat{P}(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n) \subset \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \hat{P}(X_n)$ is obvious. Now let $\mu \in \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \hat{P}(X_n)$. We will show that $\mu \in \hat{P}(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n)$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\mu \in \hat{P}(X_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a compact $K_n \subset X_n$ such that $\mu(K_n) > 1 - \varepsilon/2^n$. Let $K = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n$. One can easily check that $K \subset \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$ и $\mu(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$, i.e. $\mu \in \hat{P}(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n)$. The theorem is proved. □

Remark 2.16. Theorem 2.15 does not hold for an arbitrary number of indices. Indeed, let $X = [0, 1]$ and $X_\alpha = [0, 1] \setminus \{\alpha\}$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ the Lebesque measure λ belongs to the set $\hat{P}(X_\alpha)$. But $\bigcap_{\alpha\in[0,1]} X_\alpha = \varnothing$. That is $\hat{P}(\bigcap_{\alpha\in[0,1]} X_\alpha) \neq \bigcap_{\alpha\in[0,1]} \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let X be a Tychonoff space and $B \subset X$ be a Borel subset of X. Then $\hat{P}(B) = P_\tau(B) \cap \hat{P}(X) \subset P(\beta X)$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\hat{P}(B) \subset P_\tau(B) \cap \hat{P}(X)$ is obvious. Let $\mu \in P_\tau(B) \cap \hat{P}(X)$. Then $\mu^*(B) = 1$ and $\mu_*(X) = 1$. Choose a Borel subset $\tilde{B} \subset \beta X$ such that $\tilde{B} \cap X = B$. Then $\mu(\tilde{B}) \geq \mu^*(B) = 1$. Since the measure μ is regular, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact $K_1 \subset \tilde{B}$ such that $\mu(\tilde{B} \setminus K_1) < \varepsilon/2$. By definition, $\mu_*(X) = 1$ implies that there exists a compact subset $K_2 \subset X \subset \beta X$ such that $\mu(K_2) > 1 - \varepsilon/2$. Then $K = K_1 \cap K_2 \subset \tilde{B} \cap B = B$ is a compact subset of B satisfying $\mu(\beta X \setminus K) \leq \mu(\beta X \setminus K_1) + \mu(\beta X \setminus K_2) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$, which, by the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$ implies that $\mu_*(B) = 1$ and $\mu \in \hat{P}(B)$. The lemma is proved. □

Theorem 2.18. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves intersections of closed subsets, i.e. for any Tychonoff space X and its closed subsets X_α, $\alpha \in A$, $\hat{P}(\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$.*

Proof. Theorem 1.10 implies that $P_\tau(\bigcap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} P_\tau(X_\alpha)$. Then, by Lemma 2.17, $\hat{P}(\cap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) = P_\tau(\cap_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) \cap \hat{P}(X) = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} P_\tau(X_\alpha) \cap \hat{P}(X) = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$. The theorem is proved. □

Now let us consider the question of continuity of the functor $\hat{P}$. Let A be a directed partially ordered set (which means that for any $\alpha, \beta \in A$ there exists a $\gamma \in A$ such that $\gamma \geq \alpha$ и $\gamma \geq \beta$).

Let $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta\}$ be an inverse system indexed by the set A and consisting of Tychonoff spaces. By $\varprojlim X_\alpha$ we denote the limit of that system, and by $p_\alpha : \varprojlim X_\alpha \to X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, – the bonding maps.

The inverse system $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta\}$ induces the inverse system $\{\hat{P}(X_\alpha), \hat{P}(p_\alpha^\beta)\}$, whose limit is denoted by $\varprojlim \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$, and the limit projections by $\mathrm{pr}_\alpha : \varprojlim \hat{P}(X_\alpha) \to \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$. The maps $\hat{P}(p_\alpha) : \hat{P}(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$ induce a map $R : \hat{P}(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$.

It is well-known that if all X_α are compact, then the map R is a homeomorphism. This follows from the continuity of the functor P in the category of compacta [9, VII.3.11].

Theorem 2.19. *The map $R : \hat{P}(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$ is an embedding. If the limit projections $p_\alpha : \varprojlim X_\alpha \to X_\alpha$ are dense, then the image $R(\hat{P}(\varprojlim X_\alpha))$ is dense $\varprojlim \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$. If the index set A is countable, then R is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. The first two statements are proved in a similar fashion to corresponding statements of Theorem 1.11. Let us assume that the set A is countable and show that the map $R : \hat{P}(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$ is a homeomorphism. For this purpose it is sufficient to prove the surjectivity of the map R. Like in the proof of 1.11, let us embed the map R in the homeomorphism $\bar{R} : P(\varprojlim \beta X_\alpha) \to \varprojlim P(\beta X_\alpha)$.

Fix a thread $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A} \in \varprojlim \hat{P}(\beta X_\alpha)$. Let us show that $\mu = \bar{R}^{-1}(\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}) \in \hat{P}(\varprojlim X_\alpha) \subset \hat{P}(\varprojlim \beta X_\alpha)$. Choose an $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix a bijection $\xi : A \to \mathbb{N}$. For every $\alpha \in A$ choose a compact $K_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$ satisfying $\mu_\alpha(K_\alpha) > 1 - \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-\xi(\alpha)}$. One can easily observe that the set $K = \{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A} \in \varprojlim X_\alpha \mid p_\alpha(x_\alpha) \in K_\alpha,\ \alpha \in A\}$ is compact. Furthermore, $\mu((\varprojlim X_\alpha) \setminus K) \leq \bigcup_{\alpha\in A} \mu(p_\alpha^{-1}(X_\alpha \setminus K_\alpha)) = \bigcup_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha(X_\alpha \setminus K_\alpha) \leq \sum_{\alpha\in A} \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-\xi(\alpha)} = \varepsilon$. Therefore, the map R is surjective and the theorem is proved. □

Corollary 1.13 implies

Proposition 2.20. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves homotopies, i.e. for any homotopy $H_t : X \to Y$ the homotopy $\hat{P}(H_t) : \hat{P}(X) \to \hat{P}(Y)$ is continuous as a map $\hat{P}(H_{(\cdot)}) : \hat{P}(X) \times [0,1] \to \hat{P}(Y)$.*

Now we will consider the operation of tensor product of Radon probability measures. It is well-known (see [9, VIII,§1]) that given a family $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ of compacts, for any probability measures $\mu_\alpha \in P(X_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in A$, there exists a unique measure $\bigotimes\limits_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha \in P(\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha)$ (which is called the tensor product of measures μ_α) on the product $\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ satisfying the following condition: for any finite $B \subset A$ and any Borel sets $Y_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, where $Y_\alpha = X_\alpha$, if $\alpha \notin B$, the following holds: $\bigotimes\limits_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha(\prod_{\alpha\in A} Y_\alpha) = \prod_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha(Y_\alpha)$.

Proposition 2.21. *Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ be a family of Tychonoff spaces, $\{c\,X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ be a family of their compactifications and $\mu_\alpha \in \hat{P}(X_\alpha) \subset P(c\,X_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in A$, be a family of Radon probability measures. If the index set A is at most countable, then $\bigotimes\limits_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha \in \hat{P}(\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) \subset P(\prod_{\alpha\in A} c\,X_\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let us show that the measure $\otimes_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha$ belongs to the set $\hat{P}(\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha) \subset P(\prod_{\alpha\in A} c\,X_\alpha)$. For this purpose fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. As the set A is at most countable, there exists an injection $\xi : A \to \mathbb{N}$. Since every measure $\mu_\alpha \in \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$ is Radon, for every $\alpha \in A$ there exists a compact $K_\alpha \subset X_\alpha \subset c\,X_\alpha$ such that $\mu_\alpha(c\,X_\alpha \setminus K_\alpha) < \varepsilon/2^{-\xi(\alpha)}$. Then for the compact space $\prod_{\alpha\in A} K_\alpha \subset \prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ we get:

$$(\bigotimes_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha)(\prod_{\alpha\in A} c\,X_\alpha \setminus \prod_{\alpha\in A} K_\alpha) \le \sum_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha(c\,X_\alpha \setminus K_\alpha) < \sum_{\alpha\in A} \varepsilon/2^{-\xi(\alpha)} \le \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, $\otimes_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha \in \hat{P}(\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha)$. □

Remark 2.22. Proposition 2.21 and well-known facts about the tensor product of probability measures on compact spaces implies that for any at most countable set of Radon probability measures $\mu_\alpha \in \hat{P}(X_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in A$, on Tychonoff spaces X_α, there exists a unique Radon probability measure $\bigotimes\limits_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha \in \hat{P}(\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha)$ (which is called *the tensor product of measures* μ_α) such that for any Borel sets $Y_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, we have the following equality: $(\bigotimes\limits_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha)(\prod_{\alpha\in A} Y_\alpha) = \prod_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha(Y_\alpha)$.

Remark 2.23. Proposition 2.21 does not hold if the index set A is uncountable. Indeed, if every measure $\mu_\alpha \in X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, has a non-compact support $\mathrm{supp}_{cX_\alpha}(\mu_\alpha) \cap X_\alpha$, then it can be shown that the measure $(\bigotimes\limits_{\alpha\in A} \mu_\alpha)(K)$ of any compact set $K \subset \prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha \subset \prod_{\alpha\in A} c\,X_\alpha$ is zero.

For every Tychonoff space X let us define a map $\delta_X : X \to \hat{P}(X)$ assigning to each point $x \in X$ the Dirac measure $\delta_X(x)$, concentrated at the point x.

Theorem 1.14 implies

Theorem 2.24. *The family $\delta = \{\delta_X\}$ defines a unique natural transformation of the identity functor $\mathrm{Id} : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$ to the functor $\hat{P} : \mathcal{T}ych \to \mathcal{T}ych$, whose components $\delta_X : X \to \hat{P}(X)$ are a closed embeddings.*

In a similar fashion to Theorem 1.15 we can prove

Theorem 2.25. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the density of Tychonoff spaces, i.e. $d(\hat{P}(X)) = d(X)$ for any infinite Tychonoff space X.*

Theorem 1.16—1.22, and also Lemma 2.17 imply

Theorem 2.26. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the weight of Tychonoff spaces, i.e. $w(\hat{P}(X)) = w(X)$ for any infinite Tychonoff space X.*

Theorem 2.27. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the class of metrizable spaces.*

Proposition 2.28. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves Čech-complete spaces.*

Proposition 2.29. *If A is a Baire subset of a Tychonoff space X, then the function $\hat{\chi}_A : \hat{P}(X) \to [0,1]$, where $\hat{\chi}_A(\mu) = \mu(A)$, $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$, is measurable with respect to the σ-algebra of Baire subsets of $\hat{P}(X)$.*

Theorem 2.30. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves Baire subsets. Moreover, for any ordinal number ξ, if $A \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(X)$, then $\hat{P}(A) \in \mathcal{M}_\xi(\hat{P}(X))$; for every even ordinal number α, if $A \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(X)$, then $\hat{P}(A) \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\hat{P}(X))$.*

Theorems 2.2 and 2.27 imply

Theorem 2.31. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves the class of p-paracompact spaces.*

Theorem 2.32. *The functor $\hat{P}$ preserves projective subsets of metrizable compacta. Furthermore, for every $n \geq 0$, if $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(X)$, then $\hat{P}(A) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n+1}(P(X))$.*

Proof. Let X be a metric compact. For $n = 0$ the statement of the theorem follows from Theorem 2.30. By $\exp(X)$ we denote the hyperspace of non-empty closed subsets of X, equipped with the Vietoris topology.

Now let $n \geq 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(X)$. In this case $\hat{P}(A) = \{\mu \in P(X) \mid \mu_*(A) = 1\} = \{\mu \in P(X) \mid$ for any $m \geq 1$ there exists a compact $K \subset A$ such that $\mu(K) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}\} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{pr}_1(E_m)$, where $E_m = \{(\mu, K) \in P(X) \times \exp(X) \mid K \subset A$ and $\mu(K) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}\}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_1 : P(X) \times \exp(X) \to P(X)$, the projection onto the first factor. Let $\exp(A) = \{C \in \exp(X) \mid C \subset A\}$. In [13] it was proved that $\exp(A) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(\exp(X))$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ $E_m = R(1 - \frac{1}{m}) \cap (P(X) \times \exp(A))$. Since the set $R(1 - \frac{1}{m}) \subset P(X) \times \exp(X)$ is closed (see the proof of Theorem 1.22), $E_m \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(P(X) \times \exp(X))$. Consequently, $\mathrm{pr}_1(E_m) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n+1}(P(X))$ and $\hat{P}(A) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{pr}_1(E_m) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n+1}(P(X))$ [12, §38, III, Theorem 3]. □

Remark 2.33. If A is an analytic subset of the a compact space X, then $\hat{P}(A) \in \mathcal{P}_4(P(X))$. This follows from Theorem 1.23 and the equality $\hat{P}(A) = P_\tau(A)$, which is the result of the fact that analytic subsets of metric compacta are measurable with respect to any measure.

References

1. Banakh T.O., Radul T.M. *On the functor of Radon probability measures*, Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. (1994), no. 8, 16–20 (in Ukrainian).
2. Fedorchuk V.V. *Pobability measures in topology*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **46** (1991), 41–80 (in Russian); English transl.: Russian Math. Surveys **46** (1991), 45–93.
3. Chigogidze, A.Ch. *Extension of normal functors*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1984), no. 6, 23–26 (in Russian).
4. Varadarajan, V. S. *Measures on topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **55** (1961) 35–100 (in Russian).
5. Knowles J.G. *Measures on topological spaces* // Proc. London Math. Soc. 1967. V.17. №1. P.139–156.
6. Engelking R. *General Topology*, Moscow, Mir, 1986 (in Russian).
7. Federer G. *Geometric Mesaure Theory*, Moscow, Mir, 1987 (in Russian).
8. Banakh T.O., Radul T.M. *Topology of spaces of probability measures and geometry of their mappings*, preprint, 1994 (in Russian).
9. Fedorchuk V.V., Filippov V.V. *General Topology. Basic Constructions*. Moscow Univ. Press., 1988 (in Russian).
10. Banakh T.O., Teleiko A.B. *On the independence of axioms of a normal functor in the category of compacta*, preprint, 1993 (in Russian).
11. Arhangel'skii, A.V. *A class of spaces which contains all metric and all locally compact spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **67** (1965), 55–88 (in Russian).
12. Kuratowski K. *Topology, I,* Moscow, Mir, 1966 (in Russian).
13. Banakh, T. *Descriptive classes of sets and topological functors*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **47**:3 (1995), 408–410; English transl. in: Ukrainian Math. J. **47**:3 (1995), 474–476.
14. Eilenberg S., Moore T. *Adjoint functors and triples* // Ill. J. Math. 1965. V.9. №3. P.381–398.
15. Fedorchuk, V.V. *On the mapping of the barycenter of probability measures* Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1992), no. 1, 42–47 (in Russian); English transl. in Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. **47**:1 (1992), 39–43.
16. van Mill J. *Infinite-Dimensional Topology: prerequisites and introduction*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
17. Birkhoff G., Bartee T. *Modern Applied Algebra*, Moscow, Mir, 1976 (in Russian).
18. Ditor S., Eifler L. *Some open mapping theorems for measures* // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1972. V.164. P.287–293.
19. Bogachev, V.I., Kolesnikov, A.V. *Open mappings of probability measures and Skorokhod's representation theorem*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. **46**:1 (2001), 3–27 (in Russian); English transl. in Theory Probab. Appl. **46**:1 (2002), 20–38. [3]
20. Bogachev, V. I.; Sadovnichii, Yu. V.; Fedorchuk, V. V. *On the uniqueness of a preimage of a measure*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **408**:2 (2006), 155–159 (in Russian).

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, 1 Universytets'ka str., Lviv, Ukraine, 290602.

Received 01.10.1994

Revised 24.01.1995

The last two items of the bibliography were added at the translation